

APPLICATION NO.

10/656,645

3624

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FILING DATE 09/05/2003

VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600

30 SOUTH 17TH STREET

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

09/29/2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
Roland Sacks	SMB-PT084	8110	
	EXAMINER		
	DEVORE, PETER T		
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

ART UNIT

3751

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	11/1/			
	•				JU			
Office Action Summary			56,645	SACKS				
	Office Action Summary		niner	Art Unit	·			
		1 1 1	r T deVore	3751	ddraes			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet \	with the correspondence a				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN IS IN STATE OF THIS COMMUN IS IN THE PROPERTY OF THE P	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within t statutory period will apply v will. by statute, cause t	no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) MC the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered tim DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
<i>,</i> —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□								
10)	The specification is objected to by to the drawing(s) filed on is/ard Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted ection to the drawiring the correction is	ng(s) be held in abey required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priorit  2. Certified copies of the priorit  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act	y documents hav y documents hav s of the priority do ional Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in ocuments have bee T Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nation	al Stage			
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (F	OTO 152)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/5/03, 6/7/04</u> .	or PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Other: _		10-102)			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,645

Art Unit: 3751

ţ

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Nowotny.

The Nowotny reference discloses a cleaning device comprising a distributing surface (surface of brush A which frame B mounts on), a fluid line K, a profile/spray strip I, openings J, fasteners E, and a cleaning implement (see brush bristles in Figures).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nowotny in view of Garcia.

The Nowotny reference discloses a cleaning device as discussed supra, but does not disclose that the cleaning implement is removably attached via a hook and

Application/Control Number: 10/656,645

Art Unit: 3751

0754

loop connection. However, attention is directed to the Garcia reference, which discloses a similar cleaning device wherein the cleaning implement is removably attached via a hook and loop connection (see col. 1, lines 29-37) for convenient replacement of worn cleaning implements. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Nowotny cleaning device so that the cleaning implement is removably attached via a hook and loop connection in view of Garcia for convenient replacement of worn cleaning implements.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nowotny.

The Nowotny reference discloses a cleaning device as discussed supra, but remains silent as to the spray strip material. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the spray strip out of plastic since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In Re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter T deVore whose telephone number is (703) 306-5481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,645 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pd Pd

GREGORY L. HUSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700